Synthesis and Photoreactivity of a 2-Pivaloylcyclohex-2-enone†

Leticia Oliveira Ferrer and Paul Margaretha*

Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Hamburg, D-20146 Hamburg, Germany

2-Pivaloylcyclohex-2-enone **4**, obtained from 2,2-dimethylcyclohexanone in three steps in 70% yield, is converted into tetrahydrobenzo[c]furan-4-one **6** on irradiation (254 nm) in propan-2-ol.

2-Acylcyclohex-2-enones should represent valuable synthons, *e.g.* as Michael acceptors.^{1,2} Unfortunately the few known compounds, all *acetylcyclohexenones*, are unstable as they readily isomerize to dienolic tautomers in the presence of acid or base.^{2–5} Here we report on the synthesis of a non-enolizing 2-pivaloylcyclohexenone and on its photochemical conversion to a (novel) tetrahydrobenzo-[*c*]furan-3-one.

Treatment of 2,2-dimethylcyclohexanone (1)⁶ with lithium diethylamide (LDA) and pivaloyl cyanide⁷ 1,3-diketone 2, which on reaction with Br₂ in boiling CCl₄ affords the bromodiketone 3. Dehydrobromination of 3 to 4 is achieved with Li₂CO₃ in DMF at 130 °C. The overall yield of 6,6-dimethyl-2-(2,2-dimethylpropanoyl)cyclohex-2enone (4) from 1 is 60%. The fact that the olefinic hydrogen of 4 resonates at almost identical chemical shift as that of 2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone (6.68 vs. 6.62 ppm in CDCl₃) indicates that the (exocyclic) pivaloyl group in 4 is not coplanar with the enone double bonds, the range of chemical shifts for corresponding hydrogens in fully delocalized systems, e.g. 2-alkylidenecyclohexane-1,3-diones,8 2-acetylcyclohex-2-enone³ or 2-cyano-6,6-dimethylcyclohex-2enone,9 being 7.7-7.6 ppm. Furthermore, while this latter compound in the presence of base readily yields a dimer resulting from Michael-addition⁹ and reacts with (neutral) H₂O₂ in Me₃CN¹⁰ to give an oxabicycloheptanone, **4** is stable towards base and affords 1-pivaloyl-7-oxabicycloheptanone 5 only on classical treatment 11 with H₂O₂-NaOH in MeOH. Finally, while the above mentioned cyanocyclohexenone affords cycloadducts on irradiation (350 nm) in the presence of alkenes, 12,13 no such reaction is observed for 4 in the presence of excess 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene or isobutene, neither on $n-\pi^*$ (300–350 nm) nor on $\pi-\pi^*$ (254 nm) excitation. Nevertheless on irradiation with light of 254 nm in propan-2-ol, 4 is converted in > 90% yield into the

*To receive any correspondence (e-mail: margpaul@chemie.uni-hamburg.de).

†This is a **Short Paper** as defined in the Instructions for Authors, Section 5.0 [see *J. Chem. Research (S)*, 1999, Issue 1]; there is therefore no corresponding material in *J. Chem. Research (M)*.

1,6,7,7a-tetrahydrobenzo[c]furan-4(5H)-one **6**. The formation of this novel heterocyclic system most probably involves (a) reductive addition of a solvent molecule to C_{β} of the enone, i.e., H-abstraction from propan-2-ol by one of the carbonyl oxygen atoms of excited **4** and coupling of the 2-hydroxypropyl radical to the unsubstituted allylic C-atom in the radical pair, ¹⁴ followed by (b) cyclization to a 2-hydroxytetrahydrofuran and elimination of water.

Experimental

NMR spectra (Bruker DRX500 spectrometer: ¹H, 500 MHz; ¹³C, 125.77 MHz) were recorded in CDCl₃ as solvent. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded at 70 eV on a 311A (Varian MAT) spectrometer. Photolyses were run in a Rayonet RPR-100 photoreactor equipped with 254 nm lamps.

6,6-Dimethyl-2-(2,2-dimethylpropanoyl)cyclohexanone (2).—A solution of 1 (2.0 g, 15.8 mmol), pivaloyl cyanide (1.93 g, 17.4 mmol) and LDA (1.8 g, 17.4 mmol) in THF (20 ml) was stirred at 0 °C for 90 min. After conventional work-up (hydrolysis, extraction with diethyl ether), subsequent chromatography (SiO₂, pentane-diethyl ether 2:1) afforded 2.8 g (87%) of 2, mp 70 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.19 (dd, J 5.6, 12.8 Hz), 2.07 (m, 1 H), 1.87 (m, 3 H), 1.80 (m, 3 H), 1.65 (ddd, J 3.5, 13, 13.5 Hz), 1.26 (s, 3 H), 1.11 (s, 9 H); 1.05 (s, 3 H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 213 (CO), 212 (CO), 53 (CH), 46 (> C <), 45 (> C <), 41 (CH₂), 31 (CH₂), 26, 25 and 25 (CH₃), 21 (CH₂) (Found: M⁺, 210.1621. C₁₃H₂₂O₂ requires $M_{\rm T}$, 210.1620).

6,6-Dimethyl-2-(2,2-dimethylpropanoyl) cyclohex-2-enone (4).—A solution of **2** (1.0 g, 4.76 mmol) and bromine (0.761 g, 4.76 mmol) in CCl₄ (20 ml) was stirred at 90 °C for 60 min. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude bromoketone **3** and Li₂CO₃ (0.387 g, 5.2 mmol) were stirred in DMF (10 ml) at 120 °C for 5 h. After addition of water, extraction with pentane and evaporation of the solvent, chromatography (SiO₂, pentane—diethyl ether 4:1) afforded 0.702 g (71%) **4**, mp 29–30 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.68 (t, J 4.1 Hz), 2.44 (dt, J 4.1, 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.87 (t, J 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.16 (s, 6 H), 1.15 (s, 9 H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 212 (CO), 202 (CO), 144 (CH), 141 (C-2), 44 (> C <), 42 (> C <), 36 (CH₂), 27 and 24 (CH₃), 23 (CH₂) (Found: M⁺, 208.1464. C₁₃H₂₀O₂ requires $M_{\rm r}$, 208.1463).

3,3-Dimethyl-1-(2,2-dimethylpropanoyl)-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-2one (5).—Treatment of **4** with $\rm H_2O_2$ -NaOH in MeOH according to ref. 11 followed by chromatography (SiO₂, pentane-diethyl ether 4:1) afforded **5** in 33% yield as colourless liquid; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.48 (dd, J 1, 2 Hz), 2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.10 (m, 1 H), 1.81 (ddd, J 5, 13, 13.5 Hz), 1.40 (ddd, J 2, 5, 13 Hz), 1.20 (s, 9 H), 1.13 (s, 3 H), 1.12 (s, 3 H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 210 (CO), 207 (CO), 63 (C-1), 59 (CH), 45 and 42 (>C<), 29 (CH₂), 26, 25 and 24 (CH₃), 20 (CH₂) (Found: M⁺, 224.1413. C₁₃H₂₀O₃ requires M 224.1412.

 3 -(1,1-Dimethylethyl)-1,1,5,5-tetramethyl-1,6,7,7a-tetrahydrobenzo[c]-furan-4(5H)-one (6).—An argon-degassed solution of 4 (0.208 g, 1 mmol) in propan-2-ol (10 ml) was irradiated for 45 h. After evaporation of the solvent, chromatography (SiO₂, pentane—diethyl ether 4:1) afforded 0.101 g (40%) of 6 as a colourless oil; $δ_{\rm H}$ 2.98 (dd, J 5, 12 Hz), 1.71–1.61 (m, 4 H), 1.44 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (s, 9 H), 1.16 (s, 3 H), 1.12 (s, 3 H), 1.09 (s, 3 H); $δ_{\rm C}$ 202 (CO), 175 (C-3), 107 (C-3a), 88 (C-1), 54 (CH), 39 (CH₂), 28, 27.5, 27, 26 and 22 (CH₃), 21 (CH₂) (Found: M⁺, 250.1933. C₁₆H₂₆O₂ requires $M_{\rm T}$, 250.1933).

We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for support.

Received, 30th October 1998; Accepted, 30th November 1998 Paper E/8/08422J

References

- 1 G. A. Kraus and Z. Wan, Synlett, 1997, 1285.
- 2 A. A. Akhrem, F. A. Lakhvich and A. N. Pyrko, *J. Org. Chem. USSR*, 1983, 19, 2027.
- 3 J. M. Renga and H. J. Reich, Org. Synth., 1988, Coll. Vol. VI,

- R. W. Howard, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1985, **60**, 438.
 6 R. Z. Andriamialisoa, N. Langlois and Y. Langlois, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, **26**, 3563.
- 7 A. S. Howard, C. A. Meerholz and J. P. Michael, Tetrahedron Lett., 1979, 1339.
- 8 K. Hobel and P. Margaretha, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1989, **72**, 975. 9 S. Andresen and P. Margaretha, *J. Chem. Res.* (S), 1994, 332.
- 10 B. Sander, S. Andresen, S. Reichow, K. Dubois, W. C. Agosta and P. Margaretha, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1996, 79, 1428.

 11 R. L. Wasson and H. O. House, Org. Synth., 1963, Coll. Vol.
- IV, 552.
- 12 S. Andresen and P. Margaretha, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem., 1998, 112, 135.
- 13 W. C. Agosta and P. Margaretha, Acc. Chem. Res., 1996, 29, 179.
- 14 R. C. Gebel and P. Margaretha, Chem. Ber., 1990, 123, 855.